Interplanetary CMEs and PUNCH

Anna Malanushenko, HAO/NCAR

Working Group 2A

- Important questions about CMEs that PUNCH can address:
  - How do CME propagate through the heliosphere?
  - How does CME structure evolve; both magnetic and plasma?
  - How can we predict CMEs' out-of-ecliptic magnetic fields?
  - What is the role of CMEs for the IMF? IMF evolution.
  - Magnetic reconnection through and above the Alfven surface.
  - Association with CIRs.
  - We need to better understand CME shocks and SEPs: at Earth, at other planets and for man in space (Moon, Mars, asteroids)

In preparation for launch…

GAMERA
Grid Agnostic MHD for Extended Research Applications

https://civspace.jhuapl.edu/gamera/

- We do not have PUNCH data at the moment!
- But we *do* have MHD simulations that we can use to mimic data for pre-launch analysis

Global Solar Wind 0.1-1 AU



(Merkin et al. 2016)

+

Gibson & Low flux rope model



(Gibson & Low, 1998)

=

CME in the inner heliosphere



Synthetic data: aka “CME Challenge v2.0”

- Synthetic PUNCH-like data using GAMERA MHD simulation
- pB, tB in PUNCH-like field-of-view and projection



Synthetic data: aka “CME Challenge v2.0”

- Synthetic PUNCH-like data using GAMERA MHD simulation
- pB, tB in PUNCH-like field-of-view and projection

- Several simulated CME events:
  - CME0: reference case: all properties of CME are known a priori
    - can be used to test CME reconstruction/flow tracking methods
  - CME1-CME3: validation cases: properties are disclosed upon request
    - can be used for *validation* of established methods

Synthetic data: aka “CME Challenge v2.0”

- Synthetic PUNCH-like data using GAMERA MHD simulation
- pB, tB in PUNCH-like field-of-view and projection

- Several simulated CME events:
  - CME0: reference case: all properties of CME are known a priori
    - can be used to test CME reconstruction/flow tracking methods
  - CME1-CME3: validation cases: properties are disclosed upon request
    - can be used for *validation* of established methods

Synthetic data: aka “CME Challenge v2.0”

- Several simulated CME events:
  - CME0: reference case: all properties of CME are known a priori
  - CME1-CME3: validation cases: properties are disclosed upon request

CME0



View from N pole



Face-on (“halo”) view



Side-on (“limb”) view

“CME Challenge” → “CME Challenge v2.0”

- updates in CME injection algorithm, in pB synthesis, in ground truth parameters, and in data products

	v1.0	v2.0 (current)
Number of events	CME0-CME2	CME0-CME4
Viewing angles (w.r.t. the observer)	30°, 60°, 90° (W limb)	-60°, 0°, 30°, 90° (E and W limbs)
“4pi” coverage	--	all events
In situ	--	all events, 4pi
Storage	Google drive, got to know the link -- available upon request	Easy to find! HAO website (some data) & Globus (all data) – stay tuned!
MHD cube that we store	density only, until CME reaches 1AU	All MHD variables (e.g.: have **B** cubes for comparisons); 3D cube to 1AU plus 1AU shell data for CME passage

“4pi” data product: the idea

- Interactive webpage for quick preview on HAO website; FITS files in Globus
- Observer is not moving with Earth (hovering in space, motionless, w.r.t. distant stars)
- Observer can be anywhere around the Sun
- Observer has PUNCH-like coronagraph plus *in-situ* trace of solar wind



“Ground truth” data product: why need it?

- CMEs interact with the solar wind:
  - They slow down
    (e.g.: CME0 has starting Vr=1700 km/s, but fitted to the volume data Vr~755 km/s)
  - The trajectory may get deflected
  - CMEs expand non-uniformly in the wind
  - CME imaging observations often include “snow-plow” wind material
  - We record all those, plus the shape of the CME volume with time



CME Challenge v2.0 current status:

- Simulations: done
- PUNCH-like projections: done
- Ground truth: done
- 4pi coronagraph: done for CME0, in progress for the rest
- 4pi in situ: in progress
- Globus storage: done
- HAO webpage: in progress

A few notes on subsequent slides…

That cover fine points in interpreting these data:

- On projections
- On *what* is that we see
- On *how* is that we see it

Note on projections

- PUNCH will have a *very* wide field of view



Note on projections

- PUNCH will have a *very* wide field of view
- So, it'll have a somewhat unusual projection (for heliospheric obs.)



density *slice* at equator (vignette: r^2)



Projections: azimuthal equidistant projection

- PUNCH will have a *very* wide field of view
- So, it'll have a somewhat unusual projection (for heliospheric obs.)





Projections: azimuthal equidistant projection

- PUNCH will have a *very* wide field of view
- So, it'll have a somewhat unusual projection (for heliospheric obs.)

Gnomonic (a.k.a. TAN)
lines of sight spacing:
constant dx



Example: SDO

Azimuthal equidistant
lines of sight spacing:
constant $d\epsilon$



Example: PUNCH



Thomson scattering

- …so, lines of sight.
  What do we integrate along the lines of sight?



density *slice* at equator (vignette: r^2)



Thomson scattering

- …so, lines of sight.
  What do we integrate along the lines of sight?



density *slice* at equator (vignette: r^2)



Thomson scattering

Useful papers: Billings (1966) Chapter 6; Vourlidas&Howard (2005); Howard&Tapping (2009); Howard&DeForest (2012)

- …so, lines of sight.
  What do we integrate along the lines of sight?



$$tB = C_0 \int_0^\infty N(l)\left[2\left[(1-u)C + uD\right] - \sin^2\chi\left[(1-u)A + uB\right]\right] dl$$

$$pB = C_0 \int_0^\infty N(l)\sin^2\chi\left[(1-u)A + uB\right] dl$$

N(l) – density

A,B,C,D – functions of *r* , <u>not the observer</u> (aka “van de Hulst coefficients”)

$\sin^2\chi$ – function of scattering angle, <u>depends on observer</u>

$\sin^2\chi$ is the biggest at Thomson sphere

Useful papers: Billings (1966) Chapter 6; Vourlidas&Howard (2005); Howard&Tapping (2009); Howard&DeForest (2012)

Thomson scattering

- …so, lines of sight.
  What do we integrate along the lines of sight?

$$tB = C_0 \int_0^\infty N(l) \left[f_1(r) - f_2(r) \sin^2 \chi \right] dl$$

(vignette: r^2)



$$pB = C_0 \int_0^\infty N(l) f_2(r) \sin^2 \chi dl$$

(vignette: r^2)



Thomson scattering

Useful papers: Billings (1966) Chapter 6; Vourlidas&Howard (2005); Howard&Tapping (2009); Howard&DeForest (2012)

- We have to integrate density along the line of sight *times* some geometric factors:

$$pB = C_0 \int_0^\infty N(l) f_2(r) \sin^2 \chi \, dl$$

density *slice* at equator (vignette: r^2)



(vignette: r^2)



Useful papers: Billings (1966) Chapter 6; Vourlidas&Howard (2005); Howard&Tapping (2009); Howard&DeForest (2012)

Thomson scattering

- We have to integrate density along the line of sight *times* some geometric factors:

$$pB = C_0 \int_0^\infty N(l) f_2(r) \sin^2 \chi \, dl$$

density *slice* at equator (vignette: r^2)



What we want

(vignette: r^2)



What we have

Finally…

- For ***very*** fine features (i.e., turbulence, small-scale flows)
- and for *numerical simulations* (in general), as opposed to observations,
  <u>a line-of-sight grid may be worth thinking about</u>

GAMERA grid



Line of sight grid



Both – **Moiré**, possibly?



- (normally not an issue with large structures like CMEs and with finer grids ☺️)

These notes we just covered:

- On projections
- On *what* is that we see
- On *how* is that we see it

−note that projections and Thomson scattering effects do also apply to real PUNCH data!

So we have to learn how to analyze given these effects!

These notes we just covered:

- On projections
- On *what* is that we see
- On *how* is that we see it

−note that projections and Thomson scattering effects do also apply to real PUNCH data!

So we have to learn how to analyze given these effects!


This is BIG DATA


tB, viewed from sph.lat= 109.2
frame 5, t= 250.61 hrs
radial filter pB*r^2.0
image_rng=[0, 0.001]
y, deg
x, deg


pB, viewed from sph.lat= 109.2
frame 5, t= 250.61 hrs
radial filter pB*r^2.0
image_rng=[0, 0.0005]
y, deg
x, deg


tB, viewed from sph.lat= 184.2
frame 5, t= 250.61 hrs
radial filter pB*r^2.0
image_rng=[0, 0.001]
y, deg
x, deg


pB, viewed from sph.lat= 184.2
frame 5, t= 250.61 hrs
radial filter pB*r^2.0
image_rng=[0, 0.0005]
y, deg
x, deg


tB, viewed from sph.lat= 109.2
frame 5, t= 250.61 hrs
radial filter pB*r^2.0
image_rng=[0, 0.001]
y, deg
x, deg


pB, viewed from sph.lat= 109.2
frame 5, t= 250.61 hrs
radial filter pB*r^2.0
image_rng=[0, 0.0005]
y, deg
x, deg


tB, viewed from sph.lat= 9.2
frame 5, t= 250.61 hrs
radial filter pB*r^2.0
image_rng=[0, 0.001]
y, deg
x, deg


pB, viewed from sph.lat= 9.2
frame 5, t= 250.61 hrs
radial filter pB*r^2.0
image_rng=[0, 0.0005]
y, deg
x, deg

Thank you! ☺️