



WCRP CLIVAR High Latitude WG SEAFLUX 2010 Meeting Boulder, Colorado March 17, 2010

Observational challenges for turbulent and radiative fluxes at high latitudes

Christopher W. Fairall¹, Andrey A. Grachev^{1,2}, Ola Persson^{1,2} Dan E. Wolfe¹, Jeff Hare^{1,2}

¹NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory/Physical Science Division, Boulder, Colorado, USA ² Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA





- Emphasize surface fluxes
- Direct versus Bulk Flux Parameterizations
 - Observing Technologies
 - High Latitude Issues
 - Ice covered vs non-ice covered
 - Sampling versus Parameterizations

Sample Applications:

Aspects:

- Model lower BC (PBL, Meso, NWP, GCM)
 Ocean budgets (stress, heat, waves, seaice)
- s: •Carbon budgets
 - •Pollution deposition (particle, ozone)
 - •Cloud microphysics (aerosol source, DMS)



Flux Definitions



Sensible Heat : $H_s = \rho_a c_{pa} \overline{w'T'}$ Latent Heat : $H_l = \rho_a L_e \overline{w'q'}$ Stress : $\vec{\tau} = \rho_a \overline{w'u_x'}\hat{i} + \rho_a \overline{w'u_y'}\hat{j}$ Rain Heat : $H_p = c_{pw} P(T_s - T_{wet})$

BuoyAir: $F_b = H_s / \rho_a c_{pa} + 0.61T H_l / \rho_a L_e$ BuoyWater: $F_b = -\alpha g H_{net} / \rho_w c_{pw} + \beta g (E - P)$ Gas Exchange: $F_x = \overline{w' r_x'}$ Particle Exchange: $F_n = \overline{w' n(r)'} - w_g \overline{n(r)} + \overline{w_s' n(r)'}$





Turbulent Fluxes: Bulk Parameterization
Mean correlation of turbulent variables represented in terms of mean flow variables – wind speed, surface-to-air variable difference
MetFlux – Dominated by atmospheric turbulent xfer
GasFlux – Dominated by oceanic molecular xfer; Enhanced by whitecap bubbles

Met Flux: $\overline{w'x'} = C_x U(X_s - X_r) = C_x U\Delta X$ Gas Flux: $\overline{w'x'} = k_x \alpha_x \Delta X$ $\alpha = sol.$

Radiative Surface Fluxes:

R=F(lat, lon, time, T, q, clouds) Direct in situ measurement pyanometers & pyrgeometers Indirect in situ (MetObs, cloud obs plus a formula) Indirect satellite (Upward radiation converted to surface flux)





Flux Observing System

- In Situ
 - Point, Direct U, Ts, Ta, RH, indirect Hs, HI, indirect or indirect Rs, RI
- Satellite
 - Grid (space/time), volume average, Indirect U, Ts, Ta, RH; indirect flux
- NWP
 - No fluxes, assimilated 'OBS' consistent with dynamics and energy balance
 - Ocean, Land, aircraft, balloons, satellite
 - Massively developed, routine quality evaluation process





- Near-surface in situ
 - Sonic anemometer/thermometer
 - IR fast hygrometer, fast CO2
 - Chemilum. Fast ozone, DMS
 - High quality mean T, q, Ts
 - Eppley solar/IR radiometers
 - Surface waves
- Direct data used principally to develop parameterizations, improve the observing system, and 'verify' model results
 - Empirical coefficients computed from direct flux measurements



Table 1. *In situ* observing system components and their flux bias uncertainties estimated for a 1-month period such as could be used for intercomparison with remote sensing, reanalysis, or NWP net flux estimates. All platforms measure basic bulk meteorological variables. The flux uncertainties are given parenthetically in column 3 for estimates of turbulent fluxes by bulk [Bulk Turb] or direct covariance [Direct Turb] methods and radiative fluxes based on visual cloud observations and near-surface bulk variables [Bulk Rad] or direct measurement with radiometers [Direct Rad]. The net bias in column 4 is the square root of the sum of the squares of the appropriate values in column 3 [e.g., in VOS line 28=sqrt(24²+14²)]. In some cases, estimates for both direct and bulk method options are given [e.g., VOS-CLIM]. General latitude bands where data are sufficiently available are indicated by N (north), S (south), or blank if not significantly available.



Туре	Platform	Observations	Net Bias	Sampling	Applications
VOS	Commercial Ship	Bulk Turb (24) Bulk Rad (14) Param Precip	28	Variable in space and time, concentrated in NH and major shipping lanes	Used in gridded flux products Assimilated into reanalysis
VOS-CLIM	Commercial Ship	Bulk Turb (19) Bulk Rad (14) Direct Rad (8) Param Precip	24 20	Variable	Used in gridded flux products Assimilated into reanalysis
SAMOS	Research Vessel	Bulk Turb (12) Direct Turb (7) Direct Rad (8) Precip Gauge	14 11	Variable	Satellite, NWP intercomparisons
Buoy Networks	TAO, PIRATA, TRITON	Bulk Turb (11) Direct Rad (8) Precip Gauge	14	Typically coastal and equatorial arrays	Used in gridded flux products Assimilated into reanalysis
Flux Reference Sites	Buoy	Direct Turb (5) Bulk Turb (9) Direct Rad (6) Precip Gauge	8 11	Dispersed by climate zone requirements	Satellite, NWP intercomparisons. Climate research
Research	R/V, ASIS, Coastal Platforms, Ocean Station Ship, Ice camps	Direct Turb (5) Bulk Turb (9) Direct Rad (5) Precip Gauge	7 10	Variable, based on research priorities	Improved flux parameterizations and understanding of processes and variability



Direct Flux Measurements from Ships













Synthesis On Ocean Turbulent Flux Parameterizations: Combined Observations from ESRL, UConn, UMiami





Neutral turbulent transfer coefficients at z=10 m as a function of wind. Symbols are Direct Data (14,450 observations; 90% between 3 and 17 m/s) Dash Lines are Parameterizations

*Observations of 3 Research Groups Agree Closely (with 5%) But Need More High Speed Data

*Spread of Parameterizations is Greater Than Spread of Observations

*NOAA *COARE* model is the best fit





980803 1900 UTC

Radiometers

(JD215) SHEBA radiometers

thin ice,

snow dusting



17-19 Warch, 2010

NORA .



SHEBA only year-round turbulent and radiative flux observations over sea ice



Multi-Year Pack Ice Site (SHEBA)



-turbulent fluxes order of magnitude smaller than individual radiative fluxes but comparable to net radiative fluxes

annual mean net energy gain of
 2.5 W m⁻² is equivalent to annual melting of 26 cm of ice. Hence, H_s (H_l) annual values of 1-3 W m⁻² are significant.



17-19 March, 2010

SHEBA Turbulent Fluxes Diurnal Cycle as Function of Season





- when T_s is free to vary and solar radiation is present, a significant diurnal cycle occurs

- when T_s is fixed due to melting, diurnal cycle is damped





High Latitude Sampling Issues

- In Situ
 - Essentially no VOS, VOS-CLIM, TAO buoys
 - Very Few Flux Reference buoys or R/V's
 - Almost nothing over the ICE
- Satellite
 - GOES not very useful
 - No wind speed over ICE
 - Low cloud and ice albedc complicates retrievals
- NWP
 - See Above





High Quality In Situ System Send et al. 2009





Note: This status was based on information provided in early 2009.





Number of Dirt Effects for Direct Measurements Items in RED worse in High Latitudes

- Severe motion corrections
- Contamination by salt, ship exhaust, sea gulls, ...
- Flow distortion (Ship, tower, other sensors)
- Sensor separation, time delays, decorrelation, frequency response, path averaging,...
- Surface boundary conditions (currents, ocean/snow gradients)
- Extreme cold, icing, frost formation, fog/rain impact
- Poor signal to noise, weak stratified turbulence
- Sensor-variable crosstalk (Webb, motion, chemical)
- Artificial (self-) correlation
- A lack of field programs focused on parameterization



The Ocean at U10=25 m/s When Viewed From 100 m Altitude







The Ocean at U10=20 m/s When Viewed From A Ship











Riming Problem for Radiative Flux Measurements



Fig. 1: a) Rimed pyrgeometer and pyranometer on Cleveland PAM station at SHEBA on 980404 at 1805 UTC (~0805 solar time). The radiometers are ventilated with DC power. b) Rimed pyranometer and unrimed pyrgeometer on ASFG mast at 1750 UTC 980408. These radiometers are ventilated (and heated) by AC fans. Logbook indicates this riming on ASFG domes is similar to or slightly less than that on the ASFG domes at time of photo in a). (photos: O. Persson) 7-19 March, 2010



Fig. 2: Time series of a) LW_d at the ASFG tower(red) and the SPO site (blue) at SHEBA for the month of December 1997and b) the difference LW_d (ASFG) - LW_d (SPO). The dashed line in b) is 0 W m⁻².



Fig. 3: Scatterplot of downwelling longwave hourly radiative fluxes from a) the automated station Atlanta and b) the DC-ventilated SPO radiometers at SHEBA simultaneous to ones from the AC-powered and heated/ventilated ASFG site for November through February.





Automated Radiative Flux Measurements Difficult





Fig: a) Riming on Eppley pyranometer on Aug. 21, 2009, at 1211 UTC during ASCOS and b) time series of ASCOS air temperature (red) and supersaturation wrt ice (blue). The vertical green line shows the time of photo, which was just after a period of ice supersaturation.

17-19 March, 2010





Some Creativity Will be Required



Fig. 7: Example of extreme riming on a heated and ventilated Kipp and Zonen pyranometer at Eureka, Ellesmere Island in late October 2009. Although the dome isn't rimed, the build-up around the dome will degrade the radiation measurement.



Wintertime Sea Ice Temperature Heterogeneity Impacts Surface Fluxes

Method to obtain spatial distribution of T_s , H_s , snow/ice thickness

1) uses simple 1-D snow/ice model and formation time of SAR-identified FYI

- validation shows reasonable and consistent results

2) start in fall and identify areas named FYI0, FYI1, ... FYI4, and examine surface $\rm H_{s}$ near end of January

GCM-scale aggregate T_s and H_s surrounding SHEBA site

1) month-long period on an hourly basis for both clear and cloudy conditions

- 2) best estimate for the aggregate H_s averages 6.2 W m⁻² greater than the simultaneous H_s on the MYI
 - less than 10-12 W m⁻² difference suggested by AVHRR assessments by Overland et al. (2002) - due to clear-sky sampling bias of the AVHRR measurements.

3) aggregate H_s typically greater than the MYI values for both cloudy and clear conditions, ranging 0 - 20 W m⁻² during month

FUTURE

Snow depth and ice thickness fields from SAR/1D method used as lower boundary condition to 3-D mesoscale models

- provide mesoscale flux estimates



SAR Imagery

- to first order, radar backscatter intensity distinguishes FYI (dark) from MYI (light)

- FYI formed by opening of lead and freezing



SAR/1-D model results



T_s distribution- 14 UTC Jan. Tresearch SAR/1-D model



AVHRR Surface Temperature Jan. 17, 1998; 1400 UTC









- Routine repeat cruises and flux reference buoys
- Tropical, subtropical
- Demonstrates accuracy of blended flux products





Stratus cruise tracks





October heat fluxes at 20°S: assess analyses and models

Model WHOI ORS buoy WHOI (1984-2002) product CORE (1984-2004) product NOAA ship observations





70

85







9 PACS Cruises Conducted Before Stratus Cruises









Contrast to Stress/Heat Coefficients: Large Uncertainties Remain for **Gas Transfer**





Gas Transfer Sensitivity to: *Solubility *Wave breaking *Bubbles *Tangential vs Pressure (wave) stress *Surfactants *Temperature *Complex chemistry *Biology