New Developments in State Drought Planning



Dr. Cody L. Knutson

Drought Planning Coordinator National Drought Mitigation Center School of Natural Resources University of Nebraska-Lincoln





State Drought Planning Progress

1982: 3 state plans...all response oriented 1990: 24 state *plans...all are still response* oriented **1995:** NDMC formed; *Montana first mitigation plan* 2006: NIDIS formed; 10 states w/ mitigation plans 2019: 46 state plans; 16 states w/ mitigation plans

Status of State Drought Plans 1982



Developing a range of plans that could include drought





Mitigation: plan identifies actions to reduce impacts of future droughts

Response: plan identifies actions to take during a drought

No Plan on File

https://drought.unl.edu/droughtplanning/InfobyState.aspx

Variety of Ways to Address Drought

State Drought Plans



State Hazard Mitigation Plans



State Water Plans



State Climate Plans





Drought Mitigation Research in the Midwest



Assessing drought mitigation actions included in state hazard mitigation, water, and drought plans



Society & Public Health



Analyze actions within the database



Research Questions:					
What are					
similarities and					
differences					
between actions					
implemented via					
drought, water					
and hazard					
mitigation plans?					

Example spreadsheet:

et:	State	Plan Type	Year	Sector	Subsector	Drought Mitigation Action Text	Section/Page/Figure	Responsible Party	Time (past, current, future)
	Kentucky	Drought	2008	Water Supply and Quality	Water Conservation	Take a leadership role by implementing water conservation efforts at state facilities.	Page 31	Kentucky Drought Mitigation Team	Current

Linking State Plans: Colorado



Timeline:

- First developed in 1981
- Revised: 1986, 1990, 2001, 2002, 2007, 2010, 2013, 2018 (more focus on mitigation)

Prepared as Drought Annex to:

Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan and State Emergency Operations Plan

Complies with:

Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 Emergency Management Accreditation Program National Response Framework National Incident Management System

Using Lessons Learned in Planning: New Mexico

Several drought stages that would trigger meetings and actions in/out of drought

PDSI and SPI are the primary indicators to trigger action

			24		
Stages		Indicators			
Normal	Short-term	PDSI is greater than -0.9. Six month SPI is positive	004		
	Long-term Twelve to 60 month SPI shows no values less than -0				
Advisory	PDSI is between -1.0 and -1.9 for 1 month or a four-week running average but period of less than -1.0 does not exceed 1 months. Six month SPI less than -0.25.				
	Long-term	Twelve to 60-month SPI lowest value is between -0.25 and -0.50			
Alert	Short-term	PDSI is between -1.0 and -1.9 for greater than 2 months or between -2.0 and -2.9 for 1 month. Six month SPI less than -0.50)		
	Long-term	Twelve to 60-month SPI shows lowest value between -0.50 and -0.80			
Warning	Short-term	PDSI is between -1.0 and -1.9 for 9 months or more, -2.0 to -2.9 for at least 2 months, or -3.0 or less for at least 1 month. Six month SPI less than -1.25			
	Long-term	Twelve to 60-month SPI shows lowest value between -0.80. and -1.25			
Emergency	Short-term	PDSI is between -2.0 to -2.9 for 9 months or more, -3.0 to -3.9 for at least 2 months, or -4.0 or less for at least 1 month. Six month SPI less than -1.70			
	Long-term	Twelve to 60-month SPI shows lowest value less than -1.25			

New Mexico Drought Task Force

New Mexico Drought Plan

Management found to be unrealistic during drought conditions

2018 New Mexico State Plan Revision

Reduced the number of drought stages (and meetings)

Decided to use the US Drought Monitor as primary trigger

More realistic based on their experiences

DROUGHT STAGE	TRIGGER	DROUGHT TASK FORCE MEETING SCHEDULE	RESPONSES		
WATCH		DTF convenes yearly	 DMWG meets monthly Work groups meet as directed by the DTF SDC SDC provides annual updates to the DTF, DTF provides annual updates to the Gover requested 	to provide updates to and as requested mor, and as	
EMERGENCY	50% or more of the state is at D2 levels or higher as documented by the USDM	DTF convenes twice a year and receives updates on previous water year	 DMWG continues to meet monthly Work groups continue to meet as directed by the DTF to provide updates to SDC SDC provides quarterly updates to the DTF, and as requested DTF considers making recommendation to Governor to issue at Executive Order DMWG determines whether to make an Executive Order recommendation to DTF factors considered: percent of state in D3, D4; duration; impacts 		
EXCEPTIONAL	20% or more of the state is at D4 levels as documented by the USDM	DTF convenes at same interval as during Emergency Stage, with additional meetings as necessary	 DMWG continues to meet monthly Work groups continue to meet as directed updates to SDC SDC provides monthly updates to the DTF DTF strongly considers making recomment issue an Executive Order DMWG determines whether to make an recommendation to DTF factors considered: percent of state in Disimpacts 	by the DTF to provide and as requested adation to Governor to Executive Order 3, D4; duration;	

NEW MEXICO DROUGHT PLAN: 2018

Impact and Vulnerability Assessment

Work to better assess drought impacts and sectoral vulnerability - qualitative/quantitative

Results: better understanding and communication of vulnerable sectors and regions





Dakota historical drought impacts (1980-2015)



Hawaii Water Supply Drought Risk (2017)

U.S. Drought Impact Reporter: https://droughtreporter.unl.edu

Drought Scenario-Based Exercises

Exercises that use scenarios to get people together to better plan and manage activities during a drought.



Table-top exercises





Gaming Exercises

"Drought Tournaments"

Operations simulations

Drought Scenario-Based Exercises: Washington



Washington State Drought Contingency Plan April, 2018



Drought Contingency Plan exercises will occur on a biennial basis, and may include seminars, workshops, and/or tabletop exercises.

Exercises will ensure the capabilities and actions outlined in the plan are able to be effectively accomplished.

Support for plan exercises will be provided by the Washington Emergency Management Division's (EMD) Exercise and Training Section.

Drought Scenario-Based Exercised Reference

- **1. Drought and benefits of preparation**
- 2. Exercise types
- **3. Exercise selection considerations**
- 4. Exercise development process
- 5. Past exercises



https://drought.unl.edu/droughtplanning/AboutPlanning/PlanningProcesses.aspx

Hazard Mitigation Assistance for Drought

In 2015, FEMA announced eligibility of several new activities addressing drought for hazard mitigation (flood and drought-resilient infrastructure)

- Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR)
- Floodwater Diversion and Storage
- Floodplain and Stream Restoration



Due January 31, 2020 25% cost-share required

\$4-10 million for mitigation projects per applicant (\$10 million for resilient infrastructure)

Changing the program next year... See FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program website for updates

https://www.fema.gov/pre-disaster-mitigation-grant-program

Increasing amount of data, tools, funding and example plans to support planning



Display



Mitigation: plan identifies actions to reduce impacts of future droughts

Response: plan identifies actions to take during a drought

No Plan on File

https://drought.unl.edu/droughtplanning/InfobyState.aspx



DROUGHT.UNL.EDU

p | 402.472.6707 e | ndmc@unl.edu

f /NationalDroughtMitigationCenter @droughtcenter



Planning Scales and Tools

Work with planners at *all scales*

Developed planning guides at all scales



Local Drought Planning Options

- Drought plan
- Hazard mitigation plan
- Climate adaptation plan
- Comprehensive plan
- Water management plan
- Emergency operations plan



Falling Dominoes: A Planner's Guide to Drought and Cascading Impacts



An American Planning Association Report

https://www.planning.org/publications/document/9188906